arXiv:0807.2072v1 [math.QA] 14 Jul 2008

On the tensor structure of BRST differential and it's application

Jining Gao

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University,

Shanghai ,P. R. China

Abstract

In this paper,we compute tensor structure of BRST differential and use this tensor representation we give out CL_∞ algebra differential and GA_∞ differential which are generalization of Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and Hochchild differential respectively.

1 Introduction

In theoretical physics BRST differential[1] (or BRST symmetry) is usually represented by ghost variables and when ghost variables correspond to exterior differential forms in differential geometry, BRST differential corresponds to an exterior differential in some specific case. Although exterior differential forms is main language in modern differential geometry, tensor representation still plays an important role in geometry and physics as an another equivalent language. The correspondence between ghost (or exterior differential forms) representations and tensor representations is very transparent when we consider a special case of BRST differential- Chevalley-Eilenberg differential as follows:

Chevalley-Eilenberg differential or (Lie algebra differential) was introduced and developed in the fifties and sixties of the last century[2],[3]. Let's first recall it's definition.

Assume that L is a Lie algebra, V is a representation space with representation ρ. Set $C^n(L,V) = \omega_n : L \times L \cdots \times L \to V$. The Lie algebra differential $S_n : C^n(L,V) \to C^{n+1}(L,V)$ is defined by its action on the cochain:

$$(S\omega_n)(X_1, \cdots X_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(X_i)(\omega(X_1, \cdots \hat{X}_i, \cdots X_{n+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{j+k} \omega([X_j, X_k], X_1 \cdots \hat{X}_j, \cdots X_k \cdots X_{n+1}) \qquad (1)$$

Where $X_1, \cdots X_{n+1} \in L$ and the Lie algebra cohomology can be induced by the complex (C^n, s_n). Since complex $C^n(L,V)$ consists of multi-linear

maps which are represented by various tensors,we call above description of Chevalley-Eilenberg differential tensor representation. If L is a finite dimensional Lie algebra, the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential defined above can have an equivalent but more neat description so called ghost representation or BRST approach as follows: Let X_i be a basis of L, for every X_i, we introduce a ghost variable η^i such that

$$\eta^i \eta^j = -\eta^j \eta^i$$

and

$$\tilde{S} = \eta^i \rho(X_i) + \frac{1}{2} c_{ij}^k c^j c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial c^k} \tag{2}$$

Although both definitions of Chevalley-Eilenberg differential looks different, the nilpotency is only determined by following two basic facts:

(a)ρ is a representation of Lie algebra L with representation space V i.e. for any $X_i, X_j \in L$

$$\rho([X_i, X_j]) = [\rho(X_i), \rho(X_j)]$$

(b)L is a Lie algebra

To author's knowledge,BRST differential just has ghost representation so far. We wonder if there exists tensor representations just like in the case of CE differential,or equivalently,if there exists similar conditions as (a) and (b) to determine the nilpotency of BRST differential. The purpose of this paper is to give a complete answer to above questions . First of all, by a lengthy computation ,we obtain nilpotent equations of expansion coefficients

for general BRST differential, secondly , we assign a multi-linear map to every expansion coefficient and nilpotent equations transform to equations in term of those multi-linear maps. Those equations are obviously divided into two groups as (a) and (b)but much more complicated. We will find equations group (b) are exactly structure equations of commutator sh lie structure which is called CL_∞ algebra or in more general,GA_∞ algebra in this paper, and according equations group (a), we introduce linear representations of CL_∞ algebra and GA_∞ algebra . With all above preliminary , we can define so called CL_∞ algebra differential and GA_∞ algebra differential. Just like Lie algebra cohomology is a power tool in Lie algebra representation, we may expect GA_∞ algebra cohomology has similar application in representation of A_∞ algebra in future.

2 Symmetry and skew-symmetry operators

In this section, we will give some preliminary about symmetry and skew-symmetry tensor which is usually encountered in the computation of tensor derivative. First of all, it's necessary to introduce skew-symmetry or symmetry operator. For any given tensor coefficient $f_{a_1\cdots a_n}$ Let

$$\hat{S}(f_{a_1\cdots a_n}) = \sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^\sigma e(\sigma)(f_{\sigma(a_1)\cdots\sigma(a_n)})$$

and

$$\tilde{S}(f_{a_1\cdots a_n}) = \sum_{\sigma\in s_n} e(\sigma)(f_{\sigma(a_1)\cdots\sigma(a_n)})$$

we have that

Lemma 1 *$\hat{S}$ and $\tilde{S}$ are skew symmetry and symmetry operators respectively.*

Proof. Let's first prove that $\hat{s}$ is a skew symmetry operator

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}(f_{\tau(a_1)\cdots\tau(a_n)} &= \sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)(f_{\sigma(\tau(a_1))\cdots\sigma(\tau(a_n))}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e((\sigma\tau)\tau^{-1})(f_{(\sigma\tau)(a_1)\cdots(\sigma\tau)(a_n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e((\sigma\tau))e(\tau^{-1})(f_{(\sigma\tau)(a_1)\cdots(\sigma\tau)(a_n)}) \\
= \sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma\tau}(-1)^{\sigma\tau}e((\sigma\tau))e(\tau^{-1})(f_{(\sigma\tau)(a_1)\cdots(\sigma\tau)(a_n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^{\tau}(-1)^{\sigma\tau}e((\sigma\tau))e(\tau^{-1})(f_{(\sigma\tau)(a_1)\cdots(\sigma\tau)(a_n)}) \\
= (-1)^{\tau}e(\tau^{-1})[\sum_{\sigma\in s_n}(-1)^{\sigma\tau}e((\sigma\tau))e(\tau^{-1})(f_{(\sigma\tau)(a_1)\cdots(\sigma\tau)(a_n)})] \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau^{'}=\sigma\tau$, when σ runs through all elements of s_n, so does $\tau^{'}$ thus

$$\hat{S}(f_{\tau(a_1)\cdots\tau(a_n)}) = (-1)^{\tau}e(\tau^{-1})[\sum_{\tau^{'}\in s_n}(-1)^{\sigma\tau^{'}}e((\sigma\tau^{'}))e(\tau^{-1})(f_{(\sigma\tau^{'})(a_1)\cdots(\sigma\tau^{'})(a_n))}]$$

$$= (-1)^{\tau}e(\tau^{-1})\hat{S}(f_{a_1\cdots a_n}) \qquad (4)$$

Similarly,we can prove$\check{S}$ is a symmetry operator

3 Structure of BRST differential and it's tensor representation

In this section, we will give out structure equations of BRST differential and express it via muti-linear maps. Assume that V is a graded space and

$V_i(i \in I)$ is a basis of $V.$, the underlying field is F. Suppose there are series of skew multi-linear maps:

$$l_n : \bigotimes^n V \to V \tag{5}$$

i.e

$$l_n(v_{\sigma_{(1)}} \otimes v_{\sigma_{(2)}} \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma_{(n)}}) = (-1)^{\sigma} e(\sigma) l_n(v_{(1)}, v_{(2)} \cdots v_{(n)}) \tag{6}$$

Assume that

$$l_n(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} \cdots v_{i_n}) = \sum C^j_{i_1 \cdots i_n} v_j \tag{7}$$

where $C^j_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \in F$. Consider dual space $V^* = span(\eta^i, i \in I)$ with $deg\eta^i = degv_i + 1$. Let $R = F[\eta^i, i \in I]$, there is a natural ring structure on R with following commutative law:

$$\eta^a \eta^b = -(-1)^{(dega)(degb)} \eta^b \eta^a \tag{8}$$

From now on,we will study an odd derivative S determined by the following structure equations.

$$S\eta^j = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} C^j_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \eta^{i_1} \cdots \eta^{i_k} \tag{9}$$

Where the skew symmetry coefficients $C^j_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ are given by 7. Extend operation of S on above generators to all elements of R by the following Lebnitz rlue of odd derivative.

$$S(\eta^j \eta^k) = S(\eta^j)\eta^k - (-1)^{deg\eta^j} \eta^j S(\eta^k) \tag{10}$$

(or in short set $deg\eta^j = \eta^j$)

We will determine when S becomes a differential. Before giving out the condition, we need following lemma.

Lemma 2

$$S(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}) = \sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_k} \tag{11}$$

Proof. We use induction method to derive it. It's obvious for $k = 2$. Suppose that the formula holds for $k-1, (k \geq 3)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &S(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}) = S[(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}})\eta^{i_k}] \\ &= S(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}})\eta^{i_k} - (-1)^{deg(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}})}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}}S\eta^{i_k} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$= [\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}}]\eta^{i_k}$$

$$- (-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{k-1}}+k-2}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}}]S\eta^{i_k} \tag{13}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_k}$$

$$+ (-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{k-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_{k-1}}]S\eta^{i_k} \tag{14}$$

Remark : If we consider another type of derivative, i.e.

$$S(\alpha\beta) = S(\alpha)\beta + (-1)^{deg\alpha}S(\beta)$$

the Lemma 2 should be modified to

$$S(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}) = \sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_k} \tag{15}$$

and

$$deg(\eta^i\eta^j) = deg\eta^i + deg\eta^j$$

. With above preliminary, we have

Theorem 3 $S^2\eta^j = 0$ *iff*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1}, 1\le l\le k}(-1)^{A(\sigma)}e(\sigma)C^j_{a,i_{\sigma(1)}\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m-1)}}C^a_{i_{\sigma(l)}\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m-1}} = 0 \tag{16}$$

Where

$$A(\sigma) = \sigma + i_{\sigma(l)} + \cdots + i_{\sigma(l+m-1} + (a, i_{\sigma(l)}, \cdots i_{\sigma(l-1)})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} S^2\eta^j &= S(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_k}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}) \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_n}S(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By the Lemma 2:

$$\begin{aligned} &S(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}) = \sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_l})\cdots\eta^{i_k} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots[-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!}C^{i_l}_{j_1\cdots j_m}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_m}]\cdots\eta^{i_k} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k}[-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!}(-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}C^{i_l}_{j_1\cdots j_m}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{l-1}}[\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_m}]\eta^{i_{l+1}}\cdots\eta^{i_k}] \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Thus

$$S^2\eta^j = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_k}C^{i_l}_{j_1\cdots j_m}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{l-1}}[\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_m}]\eta^{i_{l+1}}\cdots\eta^{i_k}$$

In order to simplify $S^2\eta^j$ further,let's first introduce some notations. We denote permutation parity from $(i_1,\cdots i_{l-1},i_l\cdots i_n)$ to $(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1},i_{l+1}\cdots i_n)by(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})$ and denote permutation sign by $e(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})$and

$$\begin{aligned}S^2\eta^j &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})C^j_{i_l,i_1\cdots\hat{i_l}\cdots i_k}C^{i_l}_{j_1\cdots j_m}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{l-1}}[\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_m}]\eta^{i_{l+1}}\cdots\eta^{i_k}\\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A(a,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})C^j_{a,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1},i_{l+m}\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^a_{i_l\cdots i_{l+m-1}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+m-1}}\end{aligned}\quad(19)$$

Where

$$A(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1}) = (-1)^{l-1}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{l-1}}}(-1)^{(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})}e(i_l,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})$$

Let

$$f^j_{i_1,\cdots i_{k+m-1}} = A(a,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1})C^j_{a,i_1,\cdots i_{l-1},i_{l+m}\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^a_{i_l\cdots i_{l+m-1}}$$

and anti-symmetrize

$$f^j_{i_1,\cdots i_{k+m-1}}$$

by the operator $\hat{S}$

$$\hat{S}(f^j_{i_1,\cdots i_{k+m-1}}) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1}}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)f^j_{i_{\sigma(1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m-1)}}\quad(20)$$

Thus we have

$$S^2\eta^j = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!k!(m+k-1)!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1},1\le l\le k}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)f^j_{i_{\sigma(1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m-1)}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+m-1}}\quad(21)$$

Then follows the theorem. Because the structure constants come from multi-linear maps l_n, we have:

Proposition 4 *$S^2 = 0$ iff following equations hold:*

$$\frac{1}{m!k!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1},1\leq l\leq k} l_k[v_{\sigma(1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(l-1)}, l_m[v_{\sigma(l)}\cdots v_{\sigma(l+m-1)}], v_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots v_{\sigma(k+m-1)}] = 0 \quad (22)$$

Where

$$B(\sigma, l-1) = (-1)^{\sigma+i_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+i_{\sigma(l-1)}}e(\sigma)$$

Proof. Since for every ghost variable η^j, we can associate it with a vector v_j, by the theorem 3,$S^2\eta^j = 0$ iff

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1},1\leq l\leq k}\frac{1}{m!k!}A(\sigma)C^j_{a,i_{\sigma(1)}\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m-1)}}C^a_{i_{\sigma(l)}\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m-1}}v_j = 0 \quad (23)$$

Where

$$A(\sigma) = (-1)^{\sigma+\sigma(l)+\cdots+\sigma(l+m-1)+(a,\sigma(l),\cdots\sigma(l-1))}e(\sigma)e(a,\sigma(l),\cdots\sigma(l-1))$$

That means LHS of 23 equal to

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1},1\leq l\leq k}\frac{1}{m!k!}A(\sigma)C^j_{a,i_{\sigma(1)}\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m-1)}}l_k[v_a, v_{\sigma(1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(l-1)}, v_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots v_{\sigma(k+m-1)}]$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1},1\leq l\leq k}\frac{1}{m!k!}A(\sigma)l_k[v_{\sigma(1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(l-1)}, C^a_{i_{\sigma(l)}\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m-1)}}v_a, v_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots v_{\sigma(k+m-1)}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{m!k!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{k+m-1},1\leq l\leq k}A(\sigma)l_k[v_{\sigma(1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(l-1)}, l_m[v_{\sigma(l)}\cdots v_{\sigma(l+m-1)}], v_{\sigma(l+m)}\cdots v_{\sigma(k+m-1)}] \quad (24)$$

Remark. Equations 22 means skew multi-linear maps l_n forms commutator sh Lie structure . In [4],the definition of commutator sh Lie structure doesn't need l_n to be skew symmetry we would like to call this skew commutator sh Lie structure commutator L_∞ algebra denoted by CL_∞.

4 Linear representation of CL_∞ algebra

let A be an algebra which contains F, we are going to extend BRST operator from R to $A \otimes R$ such that S is still a differential. To achieve this, let's consider a series of skew-symmetry linear maps: $\rho_k : \bigotimes^n V \longrightarrow End(A)$ and for any $f \in A$ set

$$Sf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\rho_k(v_{i_1}, \cdots v_{i_k})f]\eta^{i_1} \cdots \eta^{i_k} \tag{25}$$

We need to determine the condition that $S^2 = 0$ when S is extended from R to $A \otimes R$. Sometime, we denote $\rho_k(v_{i_1}, \cdots v_{i_k})f$ by $\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k}$

Theorem 5 $S^2 = 0$ ***iff***

$$= \sum_{k+l=n+1, \sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=1}^{k} (-1)^\sigma e(\sigma) \rho(v_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots v_{\sigma(m-1)}, l_l[v_{\sigma(m)}, \cdots v_{\sigma(m+l-1)}], v_{\sigma(m+l)}, \cdots v_{\sigma(n)})f \tag{26}$$

Proof. We still impose the condition that S should be an odd derivative, by the lemma 2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 f &= S(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k}) f \eta^{i_1} \cdots \eta^{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S(\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k}) f \eta^{i_1} \cdots \eta^{i_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k} f) S(\eta^{i_1} \cdots \eta^{i_k}) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

For the convenience of simplification, we set the first part of above sum to be A and the second part to be$B$,then

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\rho_{j_1,\cdots j_l}(\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k})f\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_l}]\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}$$
$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n}\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k}(\rho_{i_{k+1},\cdots i_{k+l}})f\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+l}}] \tag{28}$$

and

$$B = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k}f)(\sum_{m=1}^{k}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{m-1}}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots S(\eta^{i_m})\cdots\eta^{i_k})$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_k}f)(\sum_{m=1}^{k}(-1)^{\eta^{i_1}+\cdots+\eta^{i_{m-1}}}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{-1}{l!}C^{i_m}_{j_1\cdots j_l}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{m-1}}[\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_l}]\eta^{i_{m+1}}\cdots\eta^{i_k})$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n+1}\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(\rho_{j_1,\cdots i_{k-1}}f)(-1)^{\eta^{j_1}+\cdots+\eta^{j_{m-1}}}C^{i_m}_{j_m\cdots j_{m+l-1}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_{m-1}}[\eta^{j_m}\cdots\eta^{j_{m+l-1}}]\eta^{j_{m+l}}\cdots\eta^{i_l}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n+1}\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(\rho_{j_1,\cdots i_{k-1}}f)(-1)^{\eta^{j_1}+\cdots+\eta^{j_{m-1}}}C^{i_m}_{j_m\cdots j_{m+l-1}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_n}]$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n+1}\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(\rho_{j_1,\cdots a\cdots i_{k-1}}f)(-1)^{\eta^{j_1}+\cdots+\eta^{j_{m-1}}}C^{a}_{j_m\cdots j_{m+l-1}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_n}]$$

Since ghost variables is graded commutative, we need to anti-symmetrize terms A and B respectively.

$$\hat{S}(A) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n,\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)\rho_{i_(\sigma(1),\cdots i_{\sigma(k)}}(\rho_{i_{\sigma(k+1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(k+l)}})f]\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+l}}$$
$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n,\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)\rho_{i_(\sigma(1),\cdots i_{\sigma(k)}}(\rho_{i_{\sigma(k+1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(n)}})]f\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_n} \tag{30}$$

$$\hat{S}(B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[\sum_{k+l=n+1,\sigma\in S_n}\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{m=1}^{k}A(\sigma)(\rho_{j_{\sigma(1)},\cdots a\cdots i_{\sigma(k-1)}}f)C^{a}_{j_{\sigma(m)}\cdots j_{\sigma(m+l-1)}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_n}] \tag{31}$$

Where

$$A(\sigma) = (-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)(-1)^{\eta^{j_{\sigma(1)}}+\cdots+\eta^{j_{\sigma(m-1)}}}$$

Then we get

$$\sum_{k+l=n,\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)\rho_{i_{(\sigma(1),\cdots i_{\sigma(k)}}}(\rho_{i_{\sigma(k+1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(n)}})f$$

$$=\sum_{k+l=n+1,\sigma\in S_n}\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)(\rho_{j_{\sigma(1)},\cdots a\cdots i_{\sigma(k-1)}}f)(-1)^{\eta^{j_{\sigma(1)}}+\cdots+\eta^{j_{\sigma(m-1)}}}C^{a}_{j_{\sigma(m)}\cdots j_{\sigma(m+l-1)}}(32)$$

Without the loss of generality, we omit the subscript j and use the notation $\rho_k(v_1,\cdots v_k)f=\rho_{1,\cdots k}$, we get

$$\sum_{k+l=n,\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)\rho(v_{\sigma(1)},\cdots v_{\sigma(k)})(\rho(v_{\sigma(k+1)},\cdots v_{\sigma(n)})f$$

$$=\sum_{k+l=n+1,\sigma\in S_n}\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(-1)^{\sigma}e(\sigma)\rho(v_{\sigma(1)},\cdots v_{\sigma(m-1)},l_l[v_{\sigma(m)},\cdots v_{\sigma(m+l-1)}],v_{\sigma(m+l)},\cdots v_{\sigma(n)})f(33)$$

Then follows the theorem.

Definition 6 ***If*** $l_n:\bigotimes^n V\longrightarrow V$ ***is*** CL_∞ ***algebra,and a collection of maps:***$\rho_k:\bigotimes^n V\longrightarrow End(A)$ ***satisfy equations 33,we call*** ρ_k ***is a linear representation of*** CL_∞ ***algebra on*** A***.***

In the BRST theory or BV formulism, structure coefficient $C^i_{j_1\cdots j_k}$ **is not a constant anymore, they can take values in some function ring. Then the pair**ρ_k,l_n **is not commutator sh Lie structure and representation, but a kind of algebraoid, that means the coefficient** $C^i_{j_1\cdots j_k}\in A$**. An interesting problem is that when** $S^2\eta^i=0$ **still holds if** $C^i_{j_1\cdots j_k}\in A$**. First of all, we need following definition:**

Definition 7 ***We call*** ρ_k, l_n ***forms a*** CL_∞ ***algebraoid if it satisfies following equations:***

$$\sum_{k+l=n,\sigma\in S_n}\frac{1}{k!}[\chi(\sigma)(\rho_{i_{\sigma(1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(m)}}C^j_{i_{\sigma(m+1)}\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m)}})$$

$$+\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{i_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+i_{\sigma(l-1)}}\chi(\hat{\sigma})\frac{1}{(m+1)!}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_{l-1},a\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^a_{i_l\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m)}}]=0 \quad (34)$$

We have

Theorem 8 ***If*** ρ_k, l_n ***is a*** CL_∞ ***algebraoid,*** $S^2=0$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}S^2\eta^i &= S(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_n}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k})\\ &=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}[S(C^j_{i_1\cdots i_n})\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}+C^j_{i_1\cdots i_n}(\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k})] \quad (35)\end{aligned}$$

By using the calculation of the proof in theorem 3, we have

$$S^2\eta^i=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(\rho_{j_1,\cdots j_l}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_n})\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_l}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}$$

$$+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_{l-1}}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_{l-1},a\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^a_{i_l\cdots i_{l+m-1}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+m-1}}] \quad (36)$$

$$=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k+l=n}\frac{1}{k!}(\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_l}C^j_{i_{l+1}\cdots i_{l+k}})\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+l}}$$

$$-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k+l=n+1}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_{l-1}}C^j_{i_1\cdots i_{l-1},a\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^a_{i_l\cdots i_{l+m-1}}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+m-1}} \quad (37)$$

$$= -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k+l=n}\frac{1}{k!}[\rho_{i_1,\cdots i_m}C^{j}_{i_{m+1}\cdots i_{k+m}}$$

$$+\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_{l-1}}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}C^{j}_{i_1\cdots i_{l-1},a\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^{a}_{i_l\cdots i_{l+m}}]\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_{k+m}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n,k+l=n}\frac{1}{k!}[\chi(\sigma)(\rho_{i_{\sigma(1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(m)}}C^{j}_{i_{\sigma(m+1)}\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m)}})$$

$$+\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{i_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+i_{\sigma(l-1)}}\hat{\chi(\sigma)}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}C^{j}_{i_1\cdots i_{l-1},a\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^{a}_{i_l\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m)}}]\eta^{i_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots\eta^{i_{\sigma(n)}}$$

Where $\chi(\sigma), \hat{\chi(\sigma)}$ are corresponding signs of permutations. Therefore $S^2 = 0$ iff

$$\sum_{k+l=n,\sigma\in S_n}\frac{1}{k!}[\chi(\sigma)(\rho_{i_{\sigma(1)},\cdots i_{\sigma(m)}}C^{j}_{i_{\sigma(m+1)}\cdots i_{\sigma(k+m)}})$$

$$+\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{i_{\sigma(1)+\cdots+i_{\sigma(l-1)}}}\hat{\chi(\sigma)}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}C^{j}_{i_1\cdots i_{l-1},a\cdots i_{k+m-1}}C^{a}_{i_l\cdots i_{\sigma(l+m)}}] = 0 \quad (38)$$

5 CL_∞ algebra differential and GA_∞ algebra differential

From now on, we will define CL_∞ algebra differential for any given pair ρ_n, l_m where ρ_n is linear representation of CL_∞ algebra l_m. Let V be a ρ_n, l_m module,i.e

$$\rho_n : \underbrace{L\times L\cdots\times L}\longrightarrow EndV$$

Where L is the underlying space of BRST algebra L_n. Let $C^n(L,V)$ be a n-dimensional V-cochain space, i.e.

$$\omega_n : \underbrace{L \times L \cdots \times L \longrightarrow V}$$

is a skew-symmetry multi-linear map, set $C^*(L,V) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C^n(L,V)$. Then we can define a coboundary operator on the complex $C^*(L,V)$:

Definition 9 ***For any $\omega_n \in C^n(L,V)$, we have k-component of BRST operator :***

$$S_k : C^n(L,V) \longrightarrow C^{n+k-1}(L,V)$$

as follows:

$$(S_k\omega_n)(X_{j_1}, \cdots X_{j_{n+k-1}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+k-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+k-1}} \chi(\sigma)\rho(X_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}})(\omega(X_{j_{\sigma(k)}} \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}})$$

$$-\frac{1}{k!}\frac{1}{(n+k-1)!} \sum_{l=1,\sigma \in S_{n+k-1}}^{n} C(\sigma)\omega_n(X_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}}, l_k[X_{j_{\sigma(l)}} \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(k+l)}}] \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}})$$

Where

$$C(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma)(-1)^{j_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+j_{\sigma(l-1)}}$$

Assume that $X_i, i \in I$ is a basis of CL_∞ algebra l_n, for every X_i, consider its dual element ghost η^i. Then there is a natural correspondence between the complex $C^*(L,V)$ and the ghost complex

$C[\eta^i] \otimes V$ **via**

$$\tilde{\omega_n} = \omega_n(X_{i_1}, \cdots X_{i_n})\eta^{i_1} \cdots \eta^{i_n}$$

If ρ_n, l_m is a CL_∞ representation pair , we can define an odd derivative $\tilde{S}$ on $C[\eta^i] \otimes V$ as follows:

$$\tilde{S_k}\eta^i = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} C^i_{j_1 \cdots j_n} \eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_k} \tag{39}$$

and

$$\tilde{S_k} f = \rho(X_{j_1)} \cdots X_{j_{k-1}})\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_{k-1}} \tag{40}$$

We have

Theorem 10

$$\tilde{S_k}\tilde{\omega_n} = \widetilde{S_k \omega_n} \tag{41}$$

Proof. We will prove this theorem by solving S_k in the equation41 uniquely. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{S_k}\tilde{\omega_n} = \tilde{S_k}(\omega_{j_1 \cdots j_n}\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_n}) \\
&= \tilde{S_k}(\omega_{j_1 \cdots j_n})\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_n} + \omega_{j_1 \cdots j_n}\tilde{S_k}(\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_n}) \\
&= (\rho_{m_1 \cdots m_{k-1}}\omega_{j_1 \cdots j_n})\eta^{m_1} \cdots \eta^{m_{k-1}}\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_n} + \omega_{j_1 \cdots j_n}[\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}\eta^{j_1} \cdots \tilde{S_k(\eta^{i_l})} \cdots \eta^{j_n}]
\end{aligned}$$

Set the first part to be A ,the second part to be B,then

$$\begin{aligned}
&A = (\rho_{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1}}\omega_{j_k \cdots j_{n+k-1}})\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_{n+k-1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+k-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+k-1}} \chi(\sigma)(\rho_{j_{\sigma(1)} \cdots j_{\sigma(k-1)}}\omega_{j_{\sigma(k)} \cdots j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}}\eta^{j_1} \cdots \eta^{j_{n+k-1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+k-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+k-1}} \chi(\sigma)\rho(X_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}})(\omega(X_{j_{\sigma(k)}} \cdots X_{j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B &= \omega_{j_1\cdots j_n}[\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots[-\frac{1}{k!}C^{j_l}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}]\cdots\eta^{j_n}] \\
&= \omega_{j_1\cdots j_n}[\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}(-\frac{1}{k!})C^{j_l}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\eta^{j_1}\cdots[\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}]\cdots\eta^{j_n}] \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}(-\frac{1}{k!})\omega_{j_1\cdots j_n}C^{j_l}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\eta^{j_1}\cdots[\eta^{i_1}\cdots\eta^{i_k}]\cdots\eta^{j_n} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}(-\frac{1}{k!})\omega_{j_1\cdots j_{k-1},a,j_{l+k}\cdots j_{n+k-1}}C^{a}_{j_l\cdots j_{l+k-1}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_{n+k-1}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}\omega_n(X_{j_1}\cdots X_{j_{l-1}}, X_a, X_{j_{l+k}}\cdots X_{j_{n+k-1}})C^{a}_{j_l\cdots j_{l+k-1}}\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_{n+k-1}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_{l-1}}\omega_n(X_{j_1}\cdots X_{j_{l-1}}, l_k(X_{j_l}\cdots X_{j_{l+k-1}}), X_{j_{l+k}}\cdots X_{j_{n+k-1}})\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_{n+k-1}} \\
&= \sum_{l=1,\sigma\in S_{n+k-1}}^{n} D(\sigma)\omega_n(X_{j_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}}, l_k[X_{j_{\sigma(l)}}\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(k+l)}}]\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}})\eta^{j_1}\cdots\eta^{j_{n+k-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $D(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{k!(n+k-1)!}(-1)^{j_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+j_{\sigma(l-1)}}e(\sigma)$

Proposition 11 ***The formal series*** $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ ***is a nilpotent operator on the complex*** $C^*(L, V)$

We call above S CL_∞ **algebra differential induced by** CL_∞ **algebra representation pair** (ρ_n, l_m)**.**

So far we have studied CL_∞ **algebra differential,furthermore it can be generalized to broader category which we will call** GA_∞ **algebra differential.**

Definition 12 ***A series of multi-linear maps***

$$m_k : \bigotimes^{k} L \longrightarrow L$$

,if it satisfies following equations:

$$\sum_{\Omega}(-1)^{\sigma(1)+\cdots+\sigma(l-1)}\chi(\sigma)m_i[X_{\sigma(1)}\cdots X_{\sigma(l-1)},m_j[X_{\sigma(l)}\cdots X_{\sigma(l+m-1)}]\cdots X_{\sigma(i+j-1)}]=0(42)$$

Where $\Omega=(i+j=n+1,\sigma\in S_{n-1},1\leq l\leq i)$ ***We call*** m_k ***is a*** GA_∞ ***algebra.***

Remark. GA_∞ **essentially is commutator sh Lie structure which is claimed as a special case of sh Lie algebra [4],[5]**

Obviously,A_∞ **algebra is a special case of generalized** GA_∞ **algebra.**

Definition 13 ***Given a*** GA_∞ ***algebra*** m_k ***and series of maps***

$$\rho_n:\bigotimes^n L\longrightarrow EndV$$

if it satisfies equations 33 we call ρ_n ***is a*** GA_∞ ***algebra representation with representation space*** V***.***

Similarly, we can define GA_∞ **differential and** GA_∞ **cohomology as follows:**

Definition 14 ***For any*** $\omega_n\in C^n(L,V)$***, we have*** k***-component of*** GA_∞ ***algebra differential:***

$$S_k:C^n(L,V)\longrightarrow C^{n+k-1}(L,V)$$

as follows:

$$(S_k\omega_n)(X_{j_1},\cdots X_{j_{n+k-1}})=\frac{1}{(n+k-1)!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n+k-1}}\chi(\sigma)\rho(X_{j_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}})(\omega(X_{j_{\sigma(k)}}\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}})$$

$$+\sum_{\Omega}D(\sigma)\omega_n(X_{j_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}},m_k[X_{j_{\sigma(l)}}\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(k+l)}}]\cdots X_{j_{\sigma(n+k-1)}}) \quad (43)$$

Where Ω ***and*** $D(\sigma)$ ***are defined as before***

By analogy of the proof of the theorem , $S=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}S_k$ **is also a nilpotent operator. If we loosen the condition that** ω_n **should be skew-symmetry and let** GA_∞ **degenerate to** A_∞**, we have**

Proposition 15 ***If*** m_k ***is a*** A_∞***, then formal series*** $S=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}S_k$ ***defines a differential on*** $C^*(L,V)=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}Hom(L^{\otimes n},V)$ ***Where***

$$(S_k\omega_n)(X_{j_1},\cdots X_{j_{n+k-1}})=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\rho(X_1\cdots X_{l-1)})(\omega(X_l\cdots X_{n+k-1})$$

$$-\sum_{l=1}^{n}(-1)^{X_1+\cdots+X_{l-1}}\omega_n(X_1\cdots X_{l-1},m_k[X_l\cdots X_{k+l}]\cdots X_{n+k-1}) \quad (44)$$

and ρ_n ***is a*** GA_∞ ***representation.***

When m_n **is just an associate algebra** A**, there is a natural** GA_∞ **representation** $\rho:A\longrightarrow A$**with representation space** A **as follows: For any fixed** $a\in A$ **and any** $b\in A$ **define**

$$\rho(a)b=m(a,b)$$

, then the corresponding differential is nothing but Hochchild differential.

References

[1] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1992.

[2] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg,*Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), 85-124.

[3] J. A. de Azcrraga and Jos M. Izquierdo *Lie groups, Lie algebras, cohomology, and some applications in physics* Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[4] Tom Lada, Jim Stasheff,*Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists*,Int.J.Theor.Phys. 32 (1993) 1087-1104

[5] Tom Lada, Martin Markl,*Strongly homotopy Lie algebras*,Comm. in Alg. 23 1995